

# Data Integration between Salesforce and ERP Systems: A Middleware-Based Approach

Author

Susan Wang

Program Manager, American Systems, Virginia, USA

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21590/tjsfha98>

---

## Abstract

*Effective data synchronization between Salesforce Customer Relationship Management (CRM) and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems remains a critical challenge in multi-platform enterprise environments. Discrepancies between customer, inventory, and order data can create operational inefficiencies and erode customer satisfaction. This study proposes a middleware-based integration framework utilizing MuleSoft and custom RESTful APIs to enable both batch and real-time bi-directional synchronization between Salesforce and SAP ERP. The architecture addresses*

*typical challenges such as data latency, transformation inconsistencies, and fault tolerance. A case study was conducted in a retail organization integrating Salesforce Sales Cloud with SAP S/4HANA. By combining platform events, Apex triggers, and MuleSoft's Anypoint Platform, the system achieved latency below 3 seconds for critical transactions, with over 98.5% data consistency in asynchronous flows. This paper evaluates architectural patterns, performance benchmarks, and governance strategies employed. The findings support middleware as a viable solution for unifying enterprise application ecosystems in real-time.*

**Key Words:** *Salesforce, SAP ERP, MuleSoft, Middleware Architecture, Data Integration, Platform Events, Real-time Synchronization, Apex, Retail IT, System Interoperability.*

---

## 1. Introduction

In today's digital economy, organizations rely heavily on Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems like Salesforce to manage customer interactions and drive sales growth, while Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems like SAP S/4HANA support critical business processes including finance, supply chain, and human resources. Although these platforms serve different domains, their integration is crucial for operational coherence, data consistency, and customer satisfaction.

The lack of integration between CRM and ERP can result in duplicated data entry, inconsistent reporting, order delays, and reduced visibility across departments. These issues become especially problematic in industries like retail, where customer experience and supply chain efficiency are tightly coupled. Traditional point-to-point integration approaches often lead to tightly coupled systems, making scalability, fault tolerance, and governance more difficult.

Middleware platforms such as MuleSoft offer a promising solution by acting as a layer of abstraction between systems. These platforms provide message routing, transformation, protocol mediation, and centralized monitoring—essential for building maintainable, scalable integration architectures. This paper investigates how middleware can streamline

CRM-ERP integration using a real-world case study involving Salesforce and SAP ERP in a retail setting. The focus is on latency, data consistency, automation, and extensibility.

## 2. Literature Review

Extensive literature has emphasized the need for robust integration between enterprise systems. Zhang et al. (2018) proposed a middleware-based approach for integrating ERP and CRM systems, highlighting its scalability and modularity compared to traditional ETL pipelines. Similarly, Alharkan and Aslam (2020) evaluated performance metrics for middleware-driven ERP integration and emphasized the importance of latency, throughput, and retry mechanisms.

Duan et al. (2018) conducted a systematic review of hybrid cloud integration techniques and found that middleware significantly reduced complexity in ERP-related workflows. They noted that the ability to manage API lifecycle and support protocol transformation was central to success. Shafiq et al. (2018) explored secure data flows in multi-cloud environments and advocated for service-oriented middleware with token-based security.

El Sheikh and Helmy (2019) studied data consistency in distributed enterprise systems, highlighting the need for event-driven models and idempotency in retry logic. Bhardwaj and Mahajan (2018) compared event-driven vs. request-driven architectures, concluding that decoupling via middleware led to higher availability and scalability.

In the Salesforce domain, Narayan et al. (2021) detailed the implementation of platform events for real-time synchronization. They found that Apex-based event publishers and subscribers enabled efficient decoupling. SAP integration studies, such as those by Kumar and Singh (2020), provided frameworks for integrating S/4HANA using OData APIs and middleware-based transformations.

The literature supports the hypothesis that middleware not only facilitates technical interoperability but also improves business agility, data governance, and compliance when deployed with a structured strategy and observability framework.

## 3. Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions:

- **RQ1:** How can middleware platforms enhance consistency and speed in Salesforce-ERP data integration?
- **RQ2:** What measurable improvements are observed in latency, error rates, and business process automation?
- **RQ3:** How does event-driven architecture (e.g., platform events) support reliable bi-directional synchronization in real-world scenarios?

The answers to these questions will help establish best practices for middleware adoption in enterprise CRM-ERP integrations.

## 4. Methodology

The research employed a single-case study design using a medium-sized retail enterprise

headquartered in North America. The organization had an existing Salesforce Sales Cloud implementation and was undergoing a digital transformation initiative to integrate SAP S/4HANA as its core ERP system.

#### 4.1 Architecture Design

The integration architecture used MuleSoft Anypoint Platform as the middleware. Data was exchanged using a hybrid model:

- **Real-time Events:** Platform events in Salesforce triggered MuleSoft flows for time-sensitive operations such as order placement, invoice generation, and inventory updates.
- **Batch Jobs:** Scheduled nightly MuleSoft batch processes pulled product catalogs, pricing, and historical transactions.

Additionally, the architecture involved message transformation using DataWeave scripts, asynchronous message queues to manage load spikes, and service layer security enforced through mutual TLS and OAuth 2.0.

#### 4.2 Tools and Technologies

The tools employed included:

- MuleSoft Anypoint Studio for flow development
- Salesforce Apex and platform events
- SAP BAPIs and OData services for backend ERP operations
- CloudHub for scalable deployment
- Splunk and Grafana for monitoring and visualization
- Postman and JMeter for API testing and load simulation.

#### 4.3 Metrics Collected

A broader range of metrics was used to capture operational performance and business value:

- Event latency (ms)
- Synchronization success rate (%)
- Retry attempts
- API response time (ms)
- Data consistency errors (count)
- Downtime minutes per quarter
- Number of successful reconciliations
- Throughput per second

#### 4.4 Data Collection

Data was collected via automated logs, dashboards, and internal feedback from IT and business stakeholders. System logs were parsed for trends in failure rates, response anomalies, and latency spikes. Grafana dashboards aggregated performance indicators. Monthly review sessions with cross-functional teams validated the technical data with operational impact.

### 5. Results

The results of the integration were analyzed by comparing pre-implementation metrics with post-

implementation benchmarks collected from the production environment over a 90-day period. Key performance indicators (KPIs) revealed substantial improvements:

Metric	Before Integration	After Integration	Improvement
Average Event Latency (ms)	N/A	278	N/A
Data Consistency (%)	83.1%	98.5%	+15.4%
Average API Response (ms)	1840	790	-57%
Retry Rate	12.6%	2.1%	-10.5%
Manual Reconciliation (cases)	45/month	7/month	-84%



**Figure 1.** Comparison of core system performance metrics before and after middleware-based integration between Salesforce and SAP ERP

Additionally, the number of successful automated transactions increased by 62%, and customer service resolution times decreased by 23%, according to internal feedback logs. These outcomes indicate that the middleware solution not only met but exceeded performance and reliability expectations in the retail context.

## 6. Analysis

The middleware integration led to significant improvements in both performance and data quality. Critical workflows such as order fulfillment, invoice dispatch, and customer account synchronization now operate on sub-three-second latency. The bi-directional flow ensured that both Salesforce and SAP remained consistent even during peak load conditions.

Platform events allowed decoupled processing, enabling systems to scale independently. Retry logic and dead-letter queues reduced data loss, while MuleSoft’s DataWeave transformation language handled schema mismatches.

API response improvements stemmed from caching strategies, asynchronous callouts, and reduced payload sizes. Error handling was centralized in CloudHub’s monitoring console, improving observability and reducing mean time to repair (MTTR).

Furthermore, operational gains were observed in inventory reconciliation and financial reporting. The ability to validate transactions in near real-time improved procurement planning and customer support resolution.

## 7. Discussion

This architecture demonstrates that middleware not only connects disparate systems but enhances system reliability, scalability, and transparency. Compared to traditional ETL tools or direct API calls, MuleSoft provided reusable components and API-led connectivity that minimized developer overhead.

Challenges included:

- **Duplicate Event Handling:** Resolved via sequence numbers and checksum validation.
- **Schema Mapping:** Complex SAP data models required intermediate objects in MuleSoft.
- **Latency Spikes:** Addressed using distributed load balancers and parallel processing.

Strategically, the system enabled greater agility for business units. Marketing campaigns could now use real-time inventory visibility. Customer support staff had synchronized access to order and delivery records. These features translated into improved customer satisfaction and operational efficiency.

Long-term, this middleware architecture positions the organization to scale horizontally. New endpoints—like e-commerce platforms or partner APIs—can be integrated using existing APIs and shared policies. Documentation and governance through API Manager ensure lifecycle tracking and auditing capabilities.

## 8. Conclusion

This research demonstrates the effectiveness of middleware in enabling seamless, real-time data integration between Salesforce CRM and SAP ERP in a complex retail environment. By combining batch processing and real-time synchronization through MuleSoft, the system achieved notable improvements in latency, data accuracy, and automation.

The bi-directional architecture ensured high availability and consistency of critical business data, empowering customer support and financial teams alike. The use of platform events, DataWeave, and mutual TLS added robustness to the integration.

While the implementation required significant planning and mapping, the long-term benefits—such as scalability, observability, and governance—made it a worthwhile investment. Future work may investigate the role of artificial intelligence in integration error prediction and automated remediation, as well as compare middleware vendors based on cost, performance, and ease of use.

## References

1. Alharkan, I., & Aslam, N. (2020). Evaluating performance metrics for middleware-based integration in ERP systems. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 176(6), 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2020919927>
2. Arora, R., & Chana, I. (2019). Middleware-based integration strategies in hybrid cloud CRM-ERP architectures. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 8(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-019-0135-9>
3. Talluri Durvasulu, M. B. (2022). AWS cloud operations for storage professionals. *International Journal of Computer Engineering and Technology*, 13(1), 76–86. [https://doi.org/10.34218/IJCET\\_13\\_01\\_007](https://doi.org/10.34218/IJCET_13_01_007)

4. Bhardwaj, R., & Mahajan, R. (2018). Performance evaluation of event-driven architectures for enterprise applications. *International Journal of Information Management*, 39, 92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinfomgt.2017.11.004>
5. Duan, Y., Faker, P., Fesak, A., & Stuart, T. (2018). Enterprise integration with hybrid cloud environments: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 139, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.01.010>
6. Munnangi, S. (2022). Achieving operational resilience with cloud-native BPM solutions. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, 10(12), 434–444.
7. El Sheikh, A., & Helmy, T. (2019). Data consistency techniques in distributed enterprise systems. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 66, 103339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2019.103339>
8. Haider, K., & Bukhari, S. (2021). Middleware-based Integration Patterns in Cloud Systems. *International Journal of Information Technology*, 13(4), 561–574.
9. Jin, Y., Liang, L., & Yang, Z. (2019). Towards real-time data synchronization for cloud-based ERP systems. *Procedia Computer Science*, 147, 254–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.218>
10. Kolla, S. (2022). Effects of OpenAI on Databases. *International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Research In Science, Engineering and Technology*, 5(10), 1531-1535. <https://doi.org/10.15680/IJMRSET.2022.0510001>
11. Kumar, V., & Singh, A. (2020). A study on S/4HANA integration with CRM systems. *Journal of Information Systems Integration*, 9(2), 101–114.
12. Narayan, P., Aggarwal, R., & Mehta, K. (2021). Leveraging Salesforce Platform Events for Event-Driven Architectures. *Cloud Computing Advances*, 8(1), 34–42.
13. Patel, H., & Bhatt, R. (2020). Service-Oriented Middleware for Enterprise Application Integration. *Journal of Enterprise Architecture*, 16(2), 45–58.
14. Shafiq, M., Yu, H., Bashir, A. K., & Saleem, K. (2018). A framework for secure and scalable data integration in multi-cloud environments. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 86, 1352–1363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.07.054>
15. Sharma, S., & Rawat, N. (2020). Enhancing CRM-ERP Interoperability Using API Gateway Mediation. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 9(3), 1271–1276.
16. Vangavolu, S. V. (2022). Implementing microservices architecture with Node.js and Express in MEAN applications. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology*, 13(8), 56–65. [https://doi.org/10.34218/IJARET\\_13\\_08\\_007](https://doi.org/10.34218/IJARET_13_08_007)
17. Tariq, M. A., & Abbas, A. (2020). Middleware-enabled Service Composition for Dynamic ERP Workflows. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, 32(11), e2276. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.2276>
18. Zhang, J., Liu, Y., & Chen, F. (2018). Design of middleware for ERP and CRM integration. *Journal of Computer Applications*, 38(11), 3091–3096.
19. Zhao, Y., & Gao, S. (2021). Data Governance in Hybrid ERP-CRM Integration. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 34(5), 1212–1230.